

Modularity and the distinct rank function

Amanda Folsom

Dedicated to George Andrews on his 70th birthday

Received: 16 February 2009 / Accepted: 21 October 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

Abstract If $R(\omega, q)$ denotes Dyson's partition rank generating function, due to work of Bringmann and Ono, it is known that for roots of unity $\omega \neq 1$, $R(\omega, q)$ is the "holomorphic part" of a harmonic weak Maass form. Dating back to Ramanujan, it is also known that $\widehat{R}(\omega, q) := R(\omega, q^{-1})$ is given by Eichler integrals and modular forms. In analogy to these results, more recently Monks and Ono have shown that modular forms arise in a natural way from $G(\omega, q)$, the generating function for ranks of partitions into distinct parts. Moreover, Monks and Ono pose the following problem: determine whether the function $\widehat{G}(\omega, q) := G(\omega, q^{-1})$ appears naturally in the theory of modular forms. Here we answer this question of Monks and Ono, and show that $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$, when combined with $\widehat{G}(\omega^{-1}, q)$ and a twisted third-order mock theta of Ramanujan, form a weight 1 modular form. We provide a more general result on the modularity of certain expressions involving basic hypergeometric series and then show that our result on $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$ may be deduced from this as a special case.

Keywords Modular forms · Integer partitions · Basic hypergeometric series

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) Primary 11F11 · Secondary 05A17 · 33D15

1 Introduction and statement of results

Of great interest in number theory are identities that relate modular forms to arithmetic or combinatorial generating functions. One of the most celebrated examples of

A. Folsom (✉)
Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA
e-mail: folsom@math.wisc.edu

such an identity is the Rogers–Ramanujan identity

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n} = \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - q^{5n+1})^{-1} (1 - q^{5n+4})^{-1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $(a; q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j)$, $n \geq 1$, and $(a; q)_0 = 1$. The infinite product on the right-hand side of (1.1) is essentially a weight 0 modular form. Further, the infinite series on the left-hand side of (1.1) may be interpreted combinatorially as the generating function for the number of partitions of an integer n with minimal difference equal to 2. Another highly lauded result involves Dyson’s rank generating function

$$R(\omega, q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} N(m, n) \omega^m q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(\omega q; q)_n (\omega^{-1} q; q)_n}.$$

The rank of an integer partition is defined to be the largest part of the partition minus the number of parts, and $N(m, n)$ denotes the number of partitions of n with rank m . In [2], Bringmann and Ono prove for roots of unity ω , that $R(\omega, q)$ is the “holomorphic part” of a weight 1/2 harmonic weak Maass form, a form that satisfies an appropriate modular transformation under a subgroup of the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, is annihilated by a Laplacian operator, and satisfies a certain growth condition in the cusps.

More classically understood, yet in analogy to the modularity associated to $R(\omega, q)$ proved by Bringmann–Ono in [2], is a result dating back to Ramanujan regarding the function $\widehat{R}(\omega, q) := R(\omega, q^{-1})$. Namely, one now understands how $\widehat{R}(\omega, q)$ fits into the theory of modular forms: it can be expressed using a weight 0 modular form and two false theta series. (See [1, 5], and (1.3).)

Also in analogy to Dyson’s rank generating function $R(\omega, q)$, more recently in [6], Monks and Ono study the generating function $G(\omega, q)$ for ranks of partitions into distinct parts. That is,

$$G(\omega, q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} Q(m, n) \omega^m q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}}}{(\omega q; q)_n},$$

and $Q(m, n)$ counts the number of partitions of n into distinct parts with rank m . As is true with $R(\omega, q)$, the authors show that modular forms arise from $G(\omega, q)$ in a natural way. Moreover, the authors pose a problem in analogy to the known modularity associated to $\widehat{R}(\omega, q)$ as described above. That is, if $\widehat{G}(\omega, q) := G(\omega, q^{-1})$, Monks and Ono naturally ask the following:

Problem 1 (Monks–Ono [6]) *Determine whether the series $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$ appears naturally within the theory of automorphic forms.*

In this paper we solve this problem and show that $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$, combined with $\widehat{G}(\omega^{-1}, q)$ and a twisted third-order mock theta function of Ramanujan, form a

weight 1 modular form. To describe our results, we begin with the following expression for $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$, revealed in [6, (1.8)]:

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-\omega^{-1})^n}{(\omega^{-1}q; q)_n}.$$

As Monks and Ono point out, the series is not well defined, yet one of their main theorems [6, Theorem 1.2] shows that, for each integer r such that $0 \leq r < m$ and any m th root of unity $-\omega^{-1} \neq 1$, the series $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{G}_{mn+r}(\omega, q)$ is well defined, where $\widehat{G}_t(\omega, q)$ denotes the t th partial sum of $\widehat{G}_t(\omega, q)$. Moreover, they show that this well-defined series $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{G}_{mn+r}(\omega, q)$ differs from $\widehat{G}(\omega, q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{G}_{mn}(\omega, q)$ by a constant multiple of the infinite product $q^{\frac{1}{48}} \eta_0(\omega^{-1}, q)^{-1}$, where

$$\eta_0(\omega, q) := q^{\frac{1}{48}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - \omega q^n). \tag{1.2}$$

The products $\eta_0(\omega, q)$ may be used to define weight 0 modular forms

$$\eta(\omega, q) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \eta_0(\omega, q) \eta_0(\omega^{-1}, q), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\omega \neq 1$. Note that when $\omega = 1$, we have

$$\eta(1, q) = \eta^2(q),$$

where $\eta(q) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$ is the Dedekind η -function, a weight $\frac{1}{2}$ modular form. To regard these functions as modular forms, one lets $q = e^{2\pi iz}$, where $z \in \mathbb{H}$, the upper-half complex plane. (See, for example, [4].) Similar to what holds for the function $G(\omega, q)$ [6, Theorem 1.1], we will show that $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$ and $\widehat{G}(\omega^{-1}, q)$ become “factors” of a weight 1 modular form, along with a twisted third-order mock theta function of Ramanujan

$$\psi(\omega, q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2} \omega^n}{(q; q^2)_n}.$$

(The function $\psi(1, q)$ is the original 3rd-order Ramanujan mock theta function.) As we will see in Theorem 1.1, this weight one modular form is described in terms of the weight 0 modular forms $\eta(\omega, q)$, and the weight 1/2 modular form $\eta(q)$. More precisely, we define

$$\widehat{D}(\omega, q) := (1 + \omega^{-1}) \widehat{G}(\omega, q) + (1 - \omega^{-2}) (\psi(-\omega^2, q) - 1).$$

Replacing q by $e^{2\pi iz}$, $\widehat{D}(\omega, q)$ becomes a function on \mathbb{H} . Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $-\omega^{-1} \neq 1$ be a primitive m th root of unity. Then $q^{-\frac{1}{12}} \widehat{D}(\omega, q) \times \widehat{D}(\omega^{-1}, q)$ is the weight 1 modular form*

$$q^{-\frac{1}{12}} \widehat{D}(\omega, q) \widehat{D}(\omega^{-1}, q) = \frac{\eta^4(q^2) \eta^2(\omega^2, q)}{\eta^2(q) \eta^3(\omega^2, q^2)}.$$

Example As an example of Theorem 1.1, we consider the case $\omega = i$. In this case, by (3.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}(i, q) &= (1 + i)F(q^{-1}, 0; -1, q^2), \\ \widehat{G}(-i, q) &= (1 - i)F(q^{-1}, 0; -1, q^2), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\widehat{D}(i, q) = \widehat{D}(-i, q)$. Thus, we find the weight 1/2 modular form

$$q^{-\frac{1}{24}} \widehat{D}(i, q) = \frac{\eta^7(q^2)}{\eta^3(q)\eta^3(q^4)} = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{12}}}{4} \cdot \frac{\eta(q^2)}{\eta(q)\eta(q^4)} \cdot D^2(i, q), \tag{1.4}$$

where $D(\omega, q) := (1 + \omega)G(\omega, q) + (1 - \omega)G(-\omega, q)$ is defined by Monks and Ono in [6], where it is shown that $q^{\frac{1}{24}}D(i, q)$ is a weight 1/2 modular form given by a specific η -quotient (agreeing with (1.4)). (See the example following Theorem 1.1 in [6].)

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2, beginning with previously known results due to Fine [3], we deduce a more general statement (Proposition 2.2) regarding the modularity of a certain expression involving basic hypergeometric series. In Sect. 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. To do this, we perform initial manipulations on $\widehat{G}(\omega; q)$ and then explain how one can deduce Theorem 1.1 from the results in Sect. 2.

2 Modularity and basic hypergeometric series

In this section, beginning with results due to Fine [3], we deduce a more general statement regarding the modularity of a certain expression involving basic hypergeometric series. The basic hypergeometric series $F(a, b; t, q)$ are defined by

$$F(a, b; t, q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(aq; q)_n}{(bq; q)_n} t^n$$

for specified parameters a, b and t . It is well known that the series $F(a, b; t, q)$ are well defined for any choice of parameters a, b , and t with the exception of certain instances when $b = q^{-n}$ or $t = q^{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a more explicit description, see [3], Chap. 1, Sect. 3. Here, we will consider the following difference of basic hypergeometric series, $D_F(\alpha, \beta, q)$, defined by

$$D_F(\alpha, \beta, q) := F(\alpha, 0; \beta, q) - \alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}F(\beta^{-1}, 0; \alpha^{-1}, q).$$

Before stating the main result of this section, we must first introduce some notation. First, we define a function on $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$h(r) := \begin{cases} 0, & r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 1, & r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Next, we set the following notation.

Definition 2.1 For $r, s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and parameters $\zeta, \xi, \alpha, \beta$ such that the expressions below are defined, we let

$$P(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{(1 - \alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1})(1 - \alpha\beta)}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha^{-1})(1 - \beta)(1 - \beta^{-1})}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$c_1^0(\xi, r, q) := (-\xi^{-1})^{r-1} q^{-r(r-1)/2} \cdot \frac{(1 - \xi^{-1})}{(1 - \xi q^r)}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$c_{-1}^0(\xi, r, q) := (-\xi)^{-r-1} q^{-r(r+1)/2} \cdot \frac{(1 - \xi)}{(1 - \xi^{-1} q^{-r})}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$c_1^1(\xi, r, q) := (-\xi^{-1})^{r-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{16} - \frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \cdot (1 - \xi q^r)^{-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$c_{-1}^1(\xi, r, q) := (-\xi)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{16} - \frac{r(r+1)}{2}} \cdot (1 - \xi^{-1} q^{-r})^{-1}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$c_0^0(\xi, r, q) := 1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\kappa \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \zeta, \xi; q \\ r, s \end{pmatrix} := P(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \frac{(c_{\delta_1}^{h(r+s)}(\zeta\xi, r+s, q))^2}{c_{\delta_2}^{h(r)}(\zeta, r, q) \cdot c_{\delta_3}^{h(s)}(\xi, s, q)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\delta_i \in \{1, -1, 0\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Next, we define the functions $N_1(\zeta, \xi, r, s; q)$ and $N_2(\zeta, r, s; q)$ by

$$N_1(\zeta, \xi, r, s; q) := \begin{cases} \eta(\zeta, q)\eta(\xi, q), & r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \eta(\zeta, q) \frac{\eta(\xi, q^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\eta(\xi, q)}, & r \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \\ \eta(\xi, q) \frac{\eta(\zeta, q^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\eta(\zeta, q)}, & r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\eta(\zeta, q^{\frac{1}{2}})\eta(\xi, q^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\eta(\zeta, q)\eta(\xi, q)}, & r, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

$$N_2(\zeta, r, s; q) := \begin{cases} \eta^2(\zeta, q), & r + s \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\eta^2(\zeta, q^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\eta^2(\zeta, q)}, & r + s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

The functions N_1 and N_2 are modular forms for roots of unity ζ and ξ . We define one more function depending on $r, s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$:

$$p(r, s) := \begin{cases} 0, & r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{1}{16}, & r + s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \\ -\frac{1}{8}, & r, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

The following result shows that up to a finite product, the product $D_F(\zeta_m q^S, \zeta_n q^T, q) \cdot D_F(\zeta_m^{-1} q^{-S}, \zeta_n^{-1} q^{-T}, q)$ is a modular form (with easily computable weight) under certain hypotheses on the parameters defining the arguments, ζ_m, ζ_n, S , and T .

Proposition 2.2 *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let ζ_m be an m th root of unity, and ζ_n an n th root of unity. Next, we define*

$$K := K(S, T, \zeta_m, \zeta_n; q) = \kappa^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}(S + T) \\ \operatorname{sgn}(S) \\ \operatorname{sgn}(T) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_m q^S, \zeta_n q^T \\ \zeta_m, \zeta_n & ; q \\ S, T \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.10}$$

If ζ_m, ζ_n, S, T satisfy one of the following four conditions

- (i) $\zeta_m \neq 1, \zeta_n \neq 1$, and $S, T \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$,
- (ii) $\zeta_m \neq 1, \zeta_n = 1$, $S \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and either $T \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ or $T \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) $\zeta_m = 1, \zeta_n \neq 1$, $T \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and either $S \in \mathbb{Z}^{<0}$ or $S \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$,
- (iv) $\zeta_m = \zeta_n = 1$, and either $S \in \mathbb{Z}^{<0}, T \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$, or $S, T \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$,

then the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} & K \cdot q^{\frac{1}{12} - p(S, T)} \cdot D_F(\zeta_m q^S, \zeta_n q^T, q) \cdot D_F(\zeta_m^{-1} q^{-S}, \zeta_n^{-1} q^{-T}, q) \\ &= \frac{\eta^2(q) N_2(\zeta_m \zeta_n, S, T; q)}{N_1(\zeta_m, \zeta_n, S, T; q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover, the expression in (2.11) is a modular form whose weight is determined using (2.8) and (2.9).

We recall the definition of the signum function appearing in Proposition 2.2,

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0, \\ -1, & x < 0, \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

We also remark that conditions (i)–(iv) in Proposition 2.2 are to ensure the expressions appearing for D_F are well defined. To prove Proposition 2.2, we require some known results regarding basic hypergeometric series. By observing that the $F(a, b; t, q)$ satisfy difference equations of the form $f_n = L_n + M_n f_{n+1}, n \geq 0$, iterative methods allow one to solve for f_0 . This procedure is described by Fine in [3], Chap. 1, Sects. 5–7. One result given by these methods that will be useful here is the following.

Lemma 2.3 *Assuming all series are well defined, one has the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} & F(a, 0; t, q) \cdot \frac{(ba^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(bq; q)_\infty (ba^{-1}t^{-1}; q)_\infty} \\ &= F(a, b; t, q) + \frac{ba^{-1}t^{-1}}{1 - ba^{-1}t^{-1}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(ba^{-1}; q)_n q^n}{(bq; q)_n (bqa^{-1}t^{-1}; q)_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Here and throughout the expression $(a; q)_\infty := \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - aq^n)$. By the iterative procedures mentioned above, one may also deduce the following (see [3]).

Lemma 2.4 *Assuming the series below are well defined, the following identities hold:*

$$\frac{(atq; q)_\infty}{(t; q)_\infty} = F(a, 1; t, q), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(t; q)_n q^n}{(bq; q)_n (q; q)_n} = F(bt^{-1}, 0; t, q) \frac{(t; q)_\infty}{(bq; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty}. \tag{2.14}$$

Armed with Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we first apply (2.14) to the series appearing in the right-hand side of (2.12), where we take $b = 1$. We find

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a^{-1}; q)_n q^n}{(q; q)_n (qa^{-1}t^{-1}; q)_n} = F(t^{-1}, 0; a^{-1}, q) \cdot \frac{(a^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(a^{-1}t^{-1}q; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty}. \tag{2.15}$$

Next we substitute (2.15) into (2.12) (with $b = 1$) and replace the $F(a, 1; t, q)$ appearing in (2.12) by the appropriate infinite product given by (2.13). Simplifying, we find

$$\begin{aligned} D_F(a, t, q) &= \frac{(q; q)_\infty (a^{-1}t^{-1}; q)_\infty (atq; q)_\infty}{(a^{-1}; q)_\infty (t; q)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{(1 - a^{-1}t^{-1})}{(1 - a^{-1})(1 - t)} \cdot q^{-\frac{1}{12}} \frac{\eta(q)\eta(at, q)}{\eta_0(a^{-1}, q)\eta_0(t, q)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for parameters a, t, q such that this expression is well defined. We will need to prove one additional lemma.

Lemma 2.5 *Assuming notation as above, the following are true.*

(i) *Let $R \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have*

$$\eta_0(\zeta q^R, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}q^{-R}, q) = c_{\text{sgn}(R)}^0(\zeta, R, q) \cdot \eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q).$$

(ii) *Let $R \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have*

$$\eta_0(\zeta q^R, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}q^{-R}, q) = c_{\text{sgn}(R)}^1(\zeta, R, q) \cdot \frac{\eta_0(\zeta, q^{\frac{1}{2}})\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q)}.$$

Proof To prove (i), we first note that the case $R = 0$ follows trivially by the definition of $c_0^0(\zeta, 0, q)$. Next, we note that one need only consider the case $R \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$. The result for the case $R \in \mathbb{Z}^{\leq -1}$ follows from the result for $R \geq 1$ after replacing R by $-R$, and ζ by ζ^{-1} . Thus, assuming $R \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta_0(\zeta q^R, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}q^{-R}, q) \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - \zeta q^{R+k})(1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{-R+k}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q) \cdot \prod_{k=-R+1}^0 (1 - \zeta^{-1}q^k) \prod_{k=1}^R (1 - \zeta q^k)^{-1} \\
 &= \eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{R-1} (1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{-k}) \prod_{k=1}^R (1 - \zeta q^k)^{-1} \\
 &= \eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q) \cdot \frac{(1 - \zeta^{-1})}{(1 - \zeta q^R)} \prod_{k=1}^{R-1} \frac{(1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{-k})}{(1 - \zeta q^k)} \\
 &= \eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q) \cdot \frac{(1 - \zeta^{-1})}{(1 - \zeta q^R)} \cdot (-\zeta^{-1})^{R-1} q^{-R(R-1)/2} \\
 &= c_1^0(\zeta, R, q) \cdot \eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we understand that any empty product appearing above (which occurs only in the case $R = 1$) equals 1. The proof of (ii) follows similarly. Again we need only consider the case $R \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, as the case $R \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{< 0}$ follows from the established result for $R \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ after replacing R by $-R$, and ζ by ζ^{-1} . For $R \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\eta_0(\zeta q^R, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}q^{-R}, q) \\
 &= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - \zeta q^{R+k})(1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{-R+k}) \\
 &= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - \zeta q^{\frac{1}{2}(2(R+k-\frac{1}{2})+1)})(1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(2(-R+k+\frac{1}{2})-1)}) \\
 &= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{k \geq R+\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \zeta q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)}) \prod_{k \geq -R+\frac{3}{2}} (1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k-1)}) \\
 &= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{k=0}^{R-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \zeta q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)})^{-1} \prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - \zeta q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)}) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{-R+\frac{3}{2}}^1 (1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k-1)}) \prod_{k \geq 2} (1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k-1)}) \\
 &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{24}}}{(1 - \zeta q^R)} \prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - \zeta q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)})(1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)}) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{k=0}^{R-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(-2k-1)})}{(1 - \zeta q^{\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)})}, \tag{2.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we understand the empty product in (2.17) above (which only occurs when $R = \frac{1}{2}$) to equal 1. Continuing, we simplify and see that (2.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{24}}}{(1 - \zeta q^R)} \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{(1 - \zeta q^{k/2})(1 - \zeta^{-1} q^{k/2})}{(1 - \zeta q^k)(1 - \zeta^{-1} q^k)} (-\zeta^{-1} q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{R - \frac{1}{2}} q^{-(R - \frac{3}{2})(R - \frac{1}{2})/2} \\ &= c_1^1(\zeta, r, q) \cdot \frac{\eta_0(\zeta, q^{\frac{1}{2}})\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\eta_0(\zeta, q)\eta_0(\zeta^{-1}, q)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

To prove Proposition 2.2, one uses (2.16) to rewrite the product $D_F(\zeta_m q^S, \zeta_n q^T, q) \cdot D_F(\zeta_m^{-1} q^{-S}, \zeta_n^{-1} q^{-T}, q)$ in terms of the functions $\eta(q)$, $\eta(\omega, q)$, and $P(\alpha, \beta)$ (defined in (2.1)). Next, one applies Lemma 2.5 to the expression obtained. Proposition 2.2 follows after using the definition of the finite product κ in (2.7), and the functions N_1 and N_2 in (2.8) and (2.9).

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Before we are able to apply Proposition 2.2 to the function $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$, we must first obtain a new expression for $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$ to which Proposition 2.2 applies. We do this by showing that the function

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t; q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{(\omega^{-1}q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n t^n$$

satisfies a certain recurrence relation. Iterating the recurrence relation, and letting $t = -\omega^{-1}$, reveals the following.

Proposition 3.1 *The function $\widehat{G}(\omega, q)$ satisfies*

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, q) = \frac{1}{1 + \omega^{-1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \omega^{-2n} q^{n^2}}{(\omega^{-2}q^2; q^2)_n}.$$

Proof One can see by definition of g_n that $g_{n+1}(1 - \omega^{-1}q^{n+1}) = g_n$. If one multiplies this equation by t^{n+1} and sums on n , one finds that

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t; q) = \frac{-1 + \omega^{-1}}{t - 1} - \frac{\omega^{-1}}{t - 1} \widehat{G}(\omega, tq, q). \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, the fact that $(1 - a)(aq; q)_{n-1} = (a; q)_n$ implies

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t; q) = 1 + \frac{t}{1 - \omega^{-1}q} \widehat{G}(\omega q^{-1}, t; q). \tag{3.2}$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2) shows that

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t; q) = \frac{1}{1 - t} + \frac{tq\omega^{-1}}{(1 - t)(1 - \omega^{-1}q)} \widehat{G}(\omega q^{-1}, tq; q). \tag{3.3}$$

Iterating (3.3) two more times, we see

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t; q) = \frac{1}{1-t} \left(1 + \frac{tq\omega^{-1}}{(tq; q)_1(\omega^{-1}q; q)_1} + \frac{t^2q^4\omega^{-2}}{(tq; q)_1(\omega^{-1}q)_2} \widehat{G}(\omega q^{-2}, tq^2, q) \right),$$

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t; q) = \frac{1}{1-t} \left(1 + \frac{tq\omega^{-1}}{(tq; q)_1(\omega^{-1}q; q)_1} + \frac{t^2q^4\omega^{-2}}{(tq; q)_2(\omega^{-1}q; q)_2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{t^3q^9\omega^{-3}}{(tq; q)_2(\omega^{-1}q; q)_3} \widehat{G}(\omega q^{-3}, tq^3, q) \right).$$

Continuing in this manner and iterating (3.3) an infinite number of times proves the proposition, after letting $t = -\omega^{-1}$. □

Next, we make use of another expression for basic hypergeometric series of the shape appearing in Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2 *For any parameters a, t , and q such that $F(a, 0; t, q)$ is well defined, we have*

$$F(a, 0; t, q) = \frac{1}{1-t} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-at)^n q^{(n^2+n)/2}}{(tq; q)_n}.$$

Proof See [3], Chap. 1, Sects. 4–6. □

By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.1, we see that

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, q) = (1 - \omega^{-1})F(q^{-1}, 0; \omega^{-2}, q^2), \tag{3.4}$$

$$(-1 + \psi(-\omega^2, q)) = -\omega^2 q F(\omega^2, 0; q, q^2). \tag{3.5}$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{D}(\omega, q)(1 - \omega^{-2})^{-1} = \widehat{G}(\omega, q) \cdot (1 - \omega^{-1})^{-1} + (-1 + \psi(-\omega^2, q)) \\ = D_F(q^{-1}, \omega^{-2}, q^2). \tag{3.6}$$

After a short calculation using (2.16), one finds that

$$D_F(q^{-1}, \omega^2, q^2) = D_F(q, \omega^2, q^2) \cdot \frac{(1 - q^{-1})(1 - q\omega^2)}{(1 - q^{-1}\omega^{-2})}, \tag{3.7}$$

or equivalently (after applying (3.6)) that

$$\widehat{D}(\omega, q)\widehat{D}(\omega^{-1}, q) = D_F(q^{-1}, \omega^{-2}, q^2)D_F(q, \omega^2, q^2) \\ \times \frac{(1 - q\omega^2)(1 - \omega^{-2})(1 - \omega^2)(1 - q^{-1})}{(1 - q^{-1}\omega^{-2})}. \tag{3.8}$$

We compute the relevant factor

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &:= \kappa \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-1}, \omega^{-2} \\ 1, \omega^{-2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}, 0 \end{matrix} ; q^2 \right) = P(q^{-1}, \omega^{-2}) \cdot \frac{(c_{-1}^1(\omega^{-2}, -\frac{1}{2}, q^2))^2}{c_{-1}^1(1, -\frac{1}{2}, q^2)} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{8}} \frac{(1 - q^{-1}\omega^{-2})}{(1 - q^{-1})(1 - \omega^{-2})(1 - \omega^2)(1 - \omega^2q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Next, we apply Proposition 2.2 to the expression in (3.8) and find using (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}(\omega, q)\widehat{D}(\omega^{-1}, q) &= \kappa \cdot q^{-\frac{1}{6}+2p(-\frac{1}{2},0)} \frac{(1 - \omega^2)(1 - \omega^{-2})(1 - q^{-1})(1 - q\omega^2)}{(1 - q^{-1}\omega^{-2})} \\ &\quad \times \eta^2(q^2) \frac{N_2(\omega^{-2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0; q^2)}{N_1(1, \omega^{-2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0; q^2)} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{12}} \frac{\eta^4(q^2)\eta^2(\omega^2, q)}{\eta^2(q)\eta^3(\omega^2, q^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements The author wishes to thank the Ken Ono, George Andrews, and the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions while writing this paper.

References

1. Andrews, G.E.: An introduction to Ramanujan’s “lost” notebook. In: Ramanujan: Essays and Surveys. Hist. Mat, vol. 22, pp. 165–184. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2001)
2. Bringmann, K., Ono, K.: Dyson’s ranks and Maass forms. Ann. Math. (to appear)
3. Fine, N.J.: Basic Hypergeometric Series and Applications. Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 27. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1988)
4. Lang, S.: Elliptic Functions. Springer, New York (1987)
5. Lawrence, R., Zagier, D.: Modular forms and quantum invariants of 3-manifolds. Asian J. Math. **3**, 93–107 (1999)
6. Monks, M., Ono, K.: Modular forms arising from $Q(n)$ and Dyson’s rank. Preprint (2009)